



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

XXIII. *A Letter from the Rev. Mr. George Costard to Dr. Bevis, concerning an Eclipse mention'd by Xenophon.*

Dear Sir,

Read April 12,  
1753.

OF what use the doctrine of eclipses is in history and chronology, you know too well for me to tell you. The earliest account of any in the Greek history is that said to have been foretold by Thales to the Ionians. Of this I shall say nothing more at present, for fear of repeating what I have already observed to you upon that subject. The next, that is generally taken notice of by writers, is, that in the first year of the Peloponnesian war mentioned by Thucydides. But there is another before that, as I apprehend, equally remarkable, which, as Mr. Jackson (Vol. I. p. 426.) hath quoted me for, may deserve some farther consideration.

It is well known, that Herodotus and other writers make Cyrus to have deposed Astyages. On the contrary, Xenophon says, that Astyages was succeeded by his son Cyaxares, who left the kingdom to Cyrus by will. The truth, I believe, is, that Cyrus did not depose Astyages, and therefore so far Xenophon is right, but deposed Cyaxares; in which he was designedly wrong. That he knew the Persians forced the empire from the Medes, I think, appears from some no very obscure hints even in the *Cyropædia* itself. But that argument I shall not enter into farther at present. In his *Anabasis*, which is nothing

but a journal of his march, and where he relates things as he found them, he expressly asserts it. For he says, that the Greeks in their return home, came down to the river Tigris, where had formerly been a large but at that time deserted city called Lariffa, inhabited formerly by the Medes. Ταύτην, continues he, βασιλεὺς ὁ Περσῶν, ὅτε παρὰ Μήδων ΕΛΑΜΒΑ-  
NON τὴν ἀρχὴν, πολιορκῶν, ἐδὲνι τρόπῳ ἐδύναντο ἐλεῖν.  
ΗΛΙΟΝ δὲ ΝΕΦΕΛΗ προκαλύψασα ἠφάνισε, μέχρις οἱ  
ἄνθρωποι ἐξέλιπον, καὶ ἔτιως ἐάλω.

Tho' Xenophon calls this a cloud, yet the word *anan*, probably made use of by those, from whom he received his account, not only signifies a cloud, but any obstacle in general. That such effects could be owing to no common cloud, I imagined must be evident enough. And as the year before Christ 547, from a number of arguments, too long to be here insisted on, appeared to me to be the year, when Cyrus finished his reduction of the Median empire, I was naturally led to try, whether there was any solar eclipse that year, that could be the cause of so remarkable a darkness.

The geography of the east is so very imperfect, that it may be difficult to determine the situation of this Lariffa. For Xenophon hath given no other account of it, than that it lay on the banks of the Tigris. It is not improbable however, as Bochart thinks, that Xenophon inquired upon the spot, What ruins those were? And was answered *לרסן* *Laresen*, i. e. *Resen's*, or the ruins of *Resen*. But this he easily mistook, or changed into *Lariffa*, a name he was much better acquainted with.

Resen

Resen is a place mention'd *Gen. x. 12.* and there said to lie between Nineveh and Calah. This perhaps may help us in some sort towards its situation. *Mausil* (says Abulfeda) *metropolis est regionum Mesopotamiæ. Imposita est Tigri, a latere ejus occiduo. Ex adversum, a latere ejus eoo, est civitas Nineveh ruinis sepulta. Ad austrum Mausilæ effundit sese Zabus minor in Tigrim.*

In Abulfeda, the longitude of Mausil is  $67^{\circ}$ , and its latitude north  $36^{\circ} 30'$ . But in Ulugh Beigh, and Nassir Ettusi, it hath longitude  $77^{\circ}$ . The last of these two authors agrees with Abulfeda, as to its latitude, but the former of them assigns it  $34^{\circ} 30'$ ; which makes a difference of two degrees, or 120 geographical miles. Chrysococca, in his tables, hath ΜΟΥΣΟΥΛΙΝ, to which he assigns longitude ( $\xi\theta$ ) 69, and latitude ( $\lambda\epsilon$ ) 35. This author reckons his longitudes ἀπὸ τῆς ἀκρας δυτικῆς θαλάττης, as Abulfeda doth his. But Nassir Ettusi and Ulugh Beigh begin theirs از جزایر خالدا from the Fortunate

Islands. The difference between the two computations is 10 degrees, according to Abulfeda, tho' others make it  $17^{\circ} 30'$ . Varenius, and modern geographers, place Moufol in longitude east from London  $43^{\circ}$ , and in latitude north  $34^{\circ} 32'$ : And this, I suppose, is as exact as any.

In the year before Christ, therefore, 547, the apparent time of the true conjunction was at Greenwich, October 21<sup>d</sup> 23<sup>h</sup> 39' 18".

The place of the luminaries  
Moon's latitude north  
Semidiameter of the earth's disk

6	24	9	0
		46	24
		61	18
Semidiameter			

Semidiameter of the penumbra 33' 11"  
 Beginning of the central eclipse,  $22^h 39' 7''$ .  
 End of the central eclipse  $22^d 0^h 55' 55''$ .  
 Duration  $2^h 16' 48''$ .  
 Angle of the moon's way with a circle of latitude,  
 $84^\circ 25'$ .  
 Moon's semidiameter 16' 50''.  
 Sun's semidiameter 16' 21''.  
 Angle of the moon's way with a circle of latitude,  
 $84^\circ 25'$ .

The sun rose centrally eclipsed, in longitude 0' 1''  
 west from Greenwich, 54 46 10  
 In latitude north 71 44 40  
 The sun set centrally eclipsed, in longitude  
 east from Greenwich, 91 6 50  
 In latitude north, 23 9 40  
 The place of the center was at the following times,  
 as computed at Greenwich, thus,

Times.				Longitudes.			Latitudes.		
d	h	'	"	°	'	"	°	'	"
October	21	23	39 47	23	7	30E	39	48	40N
		23	47 31	28	36	40	34	20	50
	22	0	2 31	37	13	30	30	24	30
		0	17 31	57	19	40	26	56	40

By this table it is easy to see, that the center of the shadow crossed the deserts of Arabia, and then passed over the Persian Gulph below the mouth of the Euphrates. But if you will make the same allowance, as I did in my last, for the moon's acceleration,  
or

or the small retardation of the earth's diurnal motion, the place of the center will be found at the following times, as reckoned at Greenwich, thus,

Times.					Longitudes.			Latitudes.		
	d	h	'	"	°	'	"	°	'	"
October	21	23	2	31	39	1	40E	34	20	50N
		23	17	31	47	38	30	30	24	30
		23	32	31	68	9	40	26	56	40

By this table then it appears, that the center of the shadow passed more northward than the former track, and went over Kerkisia, not improbably, the Carchemish of the prophet Jeremiah, and a small matter to the north of Bagdad. It is not improbable therefore, that it crossed the Tigris not far from the place where, it seems by Xenophon's account, Larissa was situated, and where, consequently, it would cause such a darkness, as might well be attended with the effects he mentions.

This eclipse is, I take it, no inconsiderable acquisition to history and chronology, and is at the same time a confirmation of the suspicion, that, in these very antient ones, there is some allowance or other to be made for the influence of some cause, whatever it may be, hitherto not fully determined. This must be left for future observations. In the mean time however it may be of service to the science of astronomy, to examine all the past eclipses, that can be come at, and compare them with circumstances in the best manner we are able.

I have three more by me, which may some time or other make their appearance in the same manner

with the present one. In the mean time I shall add, that in Ebn Younis's eclipse, in the year after Christ 979, the time of the mean opposition was, by my calculation, May 14<sup>d</sup> 3<sup>h</sup> 50' 30".

	•	°	'	"
The sun's place	1	27	53	0
The moon's place in the ecliptic	7	27	53	0
Moon's latitude north			37	42
Place of her apogee	4	13	50	46
Place of her node	2	5	4	14
Sun's mean anomaly	11	1	29	47
Place of his apogee	2	25	29	30

Digits eclipsed 8<sup>d</sup> 4'.

These numbers are something different from those, which, I think, I gave Dr. Bradley some time ago. For I had made, some how or other, a small mistake in the place of the sun's apogee, which was kindly hinted to me by Mr. M. I have, since that, gone over the whole calculation again, and believe it is pretty exact, and agrees well enough with what Ebn Younis relates, that *Spatium, quod eclipsatum fuit de diametro ejus (lunæ) fuit amplius quam octo digiti, et minus quam novem.* And afterwards, *Et erat luna, in hac eclipsi, in propinquo distantia suæ mediæ.*

I have been led insensibly farther than I intended at first, which was only to explain the grounds of what I had said to Mr. Jackson. But your love to the science will excuse the trouble I have given you, and make any farther apology needless. I am, dear Sir,

Oct. 3, 1752.

Your very affectionate, &c.

G. Costard.  
XXIV.